

From: [Bassham, Lawrence E \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: Completeness checklists completed
Date: Thursday, October 19, 2017 10:16:46 AM

Feel free to edit at will.

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Thursday, October 19, 2017 at 10:16 AM
To: "Bassham, Lawrence E (Fed)" <lawrence.bassham@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: Completeness checklists completed

Thx

From: Bassham, Lawrence E (Fed)
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 9:31:55 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Cc: internal-pqc
Subject: Re: Completeness checklists completed

README File:

In addition to the requirement that the README file "shall be a plain text file and list all files that are included on the disc with a brief description of each", it would be useful if the file also contains some basic information about what is being provided. This includes things like how to compile the code, what is produced by the Makefile, and any information necessary to run the files created by the Makefile. On the subject of Makefiles, it would be very useful to have the genKAT and rng files included in the submissions as a concrete example of how to compile the algorithm source code. This will also help facilitate checking of the packages for completeness.

Larry

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 at 3:28 PM
To: internal-pqc <internal-pqc@nist.gov>
Subject: Completeness checklists completed

Everyone,

We have completed all the completeness checklists for the submissions for which we needed to provide feedback for. I have compiled all the information, and written a long word document which includes sample emails to each submission team with what they are missing. It is posted on the sharepoint site, under the main Documents section (and also attached to this email). Please take a look and let me know if you find anything missing, or if I need to change something. I will still add information for each team regarding what signatures we've received to date, but don't worry about that.

We will need to send responses back to each team by the end of the month. I would also like at that time to post a message on the forum with some suggestions for those who will submit before our final deadline. Please let me know if you have any suggestions you think should be passed on. For example, here's a few I've been thinking about:

- Provide all the information on the cover sheet which is asked
- Provide a useful readme file (Larry will give some advice here)
- Please clearly state which of our five security strength categories your parameter sets meet
- Please follow our guidance on following our API and generating KATs as posted on our webpage
- Please make sure your implementation is platform-independent.

The more advice we give to submitters to make our lives easier checking completeness, the better. Thanks everyone!

Dustin